

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT TACOMA

9 ANDREW STRICK,
10 Plaintiff,
11 v.
12 JOHN DOE LENTZ et al.,
13 Defendants.

No. C14-5625 RJB-KLS

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
Noted For: December 12, 2014

13 On August 11, 2014, the undersigned ordered *pro se* plaintiff, Andrew Strick, to file a
14 new in forma pauperis application as he had prepared his application for the Ninth Circuit and
15 not for this court. Dkt. 2. On August 14, 2014 the United States Post Office returned the copy of
16 the Order the Clerk's Office sent to plaintiff marked as undeliverable. Dkt. 5. Local Civil Rule
17 41(2) gives a *pro se* plaintiff 60 days to file a new address with the Court once mail has been
18 returned. The rule states:

20 (2) A party proceeding pro se shall keep the court and opposing parties advised as
21 to his or her current mailing address and, if electronically filing or receiving
22 notices electronically, his or her current email address. If mail directed to a pro se
23 plaintiff by the clerk is returned by the Postal Service, or if email is returned by
24 the internet service provider, and if such plaintiff fails to notify the court and
opposing parties within 60 days thereafter of his or her current mailing or email
address, the court may dismiss the action without prejudice for failure to
prosecute.

25 Plaintiff had until October 14, 2014, to advise the Court of a new address and as of
26

1 November 13, 2014, nothing had been filed. The undersigned recommends dismissal of this
2 action pursuant to Local Rule 41(2) for failure to comply with court rules, and failure to
3 prosecute.

4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil
5 Procedure, the parties shall have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written
6 objections. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those
7 objections for purposes of appeal. *Thomas v Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the
8 time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the Clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on
9 **December 12, 2014**, as noted in the caption.

10 DATED this 14th day of November, 2014.

11
12
13 
14 Karen L. Strombom
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26